SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating

the civil docket sheet. (SEE I	NSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS		
CAROLYN SMITH		NCO FINANCIA	NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.		
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff	County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na	ime, Address, Telephone Number and Email A	ddress)	D CONDEMNATION CASES, US	E THE LOCATION OF THE	
Craig Thor Kimmel, E Kimmel & Silverman, 30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002 (215) 540-8888 II. BASIS OF JURISI	P.C.	•	INVOLVED.		
	•	(For Diversity Cases Only)		and One Box for Defendant)	
U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		TF DEF 1		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	1 2		
		Citizen or Subject of a Greign Country	3 Foreign Nation	0 6 0 6	
	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)				
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise ■ REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & □ PPERSONAL INJURY □ 362 Personal Injur ○ 365 Personal Injur ○ Product Liabi	URY	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 ■ PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark ■ 840 Trademark ■ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) ■ FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OFHER STATUTES □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations ■ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Chailenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
⊠1 Original ☐ 2 Re	nn "X" in One Box Only) emoved from	Reopened another (speci	ferred from	Appeal to District ct	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you 15 U.S.C SECTION 1692	are filing (Do not cite jurisdictions	al statutes unless diversity):	₽-	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Fair Debt Collection Practices	Act			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	ON DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only: JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
Explanation:		$\sqrt{\Lambda}$			
DATE	SIGNATURE	OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD			
03/21/2013		V 1			

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CAROLYN SMITH	:		
	:	CIVIL ACTION	
V.	:	NO	
NCO FINANCIAL SYS	TEMS, INC. :	NO.	
plaintiff shall complete a filing the complaint and s side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant plaintiff and all other part	Case Management Track Derve a copy on all defendant he event that a defendant don't shall, with its first appeara	Delay Reduction Plan of this court, cound designation Form in all civil cases at the tas. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the aloes not agree with the plaintiff regarding, submit to the clerk of court and serve tak Designation Form specifying the track to	time or reverse ng said on the
SELECT ONE OF THI	E FOLLOWING CASE M.	ANAGEMENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cas	es brought under 28 U.S.C.	§ 2241 through § 2255.	()
	es requesting review of a ded denying plaintiff Social Secu	cision of the Secretary of Health urity Benefits.	
(c) Arbitration – Cases re	equired to be designated for	arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	(X)
(d) Asbestos – Cases inverse exposure to asbestos.	olving claims for personal in	jury or property damage from	
commonly referred to		tracks (a) through (d) that are pecial or intense management by ailed explanation of special	
(f) Standard Managemen	t – Cases that do not fall into	o any one of the other tracks.	
03/21/2013 Date	Craig Thor Kimmel Attorney-at-law	Plaintiff, Carolyn Smith Attorney for	
215-540-8888 Telephone	877-788-2864 FAX Number	<u>kimmel@creditlaw.com</u> E-Mail Address	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Case 2:13-cv-01474-TJS Document 1 Filed 03/21/13 Page 3 of 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

assignment to appropriate calendar.	
Address of Plaintiff: 100 Shadyriver Drive, Apt. D, Jun	nction, TX 76849
Address of Defendant: 716 N. Bethlehem Pike, St. 301,	Ambler, PA 19002
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	
(Use Reverse Side For A	(Idditional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation a	
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)	Yes□ No⊠
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No⊠
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	D. T. J. J.
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one ye	ear previously terminated action in this court?
	Yes□ No⊠
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior s action in this court?	uit pending or within one year previously terminated
	Yes□ No⊠
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier r	
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No⊠
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right	is case filed by the same individual?
in the outer a second of successive massess section, second results, appears, or pro second results.	Yes□ No⊠
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
5. Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. 🗆 Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
9. Gecurities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. M All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 15 U.S.C. § 1692	•
ARBITRATION CERT	IFICATION
(Check Appropriate Co.	ategory) 'y:
□ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and	belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
Rener other than monetary damages is sought.	
DATE: 03/21/2013 //	57100
Attorney-at-Law // NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	Attorney LD.#
1	
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or except as noted above.	within one year previously terminated action in this court
DATE: 03/21/2013	57100
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 CAROLYN SMITH, 4 Plaintiff 5 Case No.: v. 6 7 NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 8 Defendant (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) 9 10 **COMPLAINT** 11 CAROLYN SMITH ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, KIMMEL & 12 SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC. 13 ("Defendant"): 14 15 INTRODUCTION 16 1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 17 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA"), which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, 18 deceptive, and unfair practices. 19 20 21 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 22 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states 23 that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court 24 without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original 25 jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.

- 3. Defendant conducts business and has its principal office in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.
 - 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Junction, Texas.
- 6. Plaintiff is a person granted a cause of action under the FDCPA. See 15 U.S.C. \$1692k(a), and Wenrich v. Cole, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 18687 (E.D. Pa. Dec. 22, 2000).
- 7. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road in Horsham, Pennsylvania, 19044.
- 8. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a consumer debt of another person.
- 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. At all relevant times, Defendant was contacting Plaintiff in an attempt to collect an alleged consumer debt of another person.
- 11. Upon information and belief, the alleged debt at issue arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 12. Beginning in September 2012 and continuing through February 2013, Defendant continuously and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff on her home telephone in its attempts to collect a debt of another person.

- 13. During the relevant period, Defendant called Plaintiff from one (1) to five (5) times per day.
 - 14. Plaintiff does not owe the debt that Defendant was attempting to collect.
 - 15. Instead, "Thomas Smith" is the individual who allegedly owes the debt.
- 16. During the parties' initial conversation, Plaintiff told Defendant that she did not know Thomas Smith and instructed Defendant to stop calling her.
- 17. In response, Defendant claimed that it would stop calling her about Thomas Smith's debt. However, Defendant failed to do as stated, in a deceptive effort to continue to seek payment from Plaintiff and to harass.
- 18. Defendant persisted in calling Plaintiff on a continuous and repetitive basis in its attempts to collect a debt of another person.
- 19. Following the initial conversation, each time Plaintiff answered Defendant's calls, she again informed them that they were calling the wrong number and to stop calling her. However, Defendant ignored these statements and continued to call on a continuous and repetitive basis to collect the debt of a Thomas Smith.
- 20. Upon information and belief, Defendant called Plaintiff on a continuous and repetitive basis with the intent to annoy or harass Plaintiff as no other purpose was served.
- 21. Defendant harassed Plaintiff by failing to update its system to reflect that it was calling the wrong person.
- 22. Defendant knew, or should have known, that it was calling the wrong person and chose to continue its collection efforts against someone to whom no legal debt was claimed or could be claimed, for purposes that could only have been unlawful.

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

COUNT I

- 23. Defendant's conduct, detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §1692b(3).
 - a. Section 1692b(3) of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from communicating with any person other than a consumer more than once unless requested to do so by such person or unless the debt collector reasonably believes that the earlier response of such person is erroneous or incomplete and that such person now has correct or complete location information.
 - b. Here, Defendant violated §1692b(3) of the FDCPA by communicating with Plaintiff more than once about another person's debt, despite having been notified that it was calling the wrong person and that Plaintiff did not want to receive its collection calls.

COUNT II

- 24. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §1692c(a)(1).
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692c(a)(1) of the FDCPA by communicating with the consumer at any unusual time or place or a time or place known or which should be known to be inconvenient to the consumer.
 - b. Here, Defendant violated §1692c(a)(1) of the FDCPA by calling Plaintiff on her home phone about a debt of another person, which was an inconvenient place for Plaintiff to receive collection calls.

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

21

23

24

25

COUNT III

- 25. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692d and 1692d(5).
 - a. Section 1692d of the FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from engaging in any conduct the natural consequences of which is to harass, oppress or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.
 - b. Section 1692d(5) of the FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number.
 - c. Defendant violated §§1692d and 1692d(5) of the FDCPA when it called Plaintiff between one (1) and five (5) times a day, with the intent to annoy, abuse and harass Plaintiff, as Plaintiff had informed Defendant on more than one occasion it was calling the wrong person.

COUNT IV

- 26. Defendant's conduct, as detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. \$1692f.
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
 - b. Here, Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA engaging in other unfair and unconscionable debt collection practices, including failing to update its records to stop calling Plaintiff, after being informed repeatedly that it was calling the wrong number.

Case 2:13-cv-01474-TJS Document 1 Filed 03/21/13 Page 9 of 9

i						
1	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, CAROLYN SMITH, respectfully prays for a judgment a					
2	follows:					
3	a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(1);					
4	b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuar					
5	to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A);					
6	c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation					
7	costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1693k(a)(3); and					
8	d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.					
9	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL					
10	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, CAROLYN SMITH, demands a jury trial in thi					
12	case.					
13	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,					
14	DATED: 03 21 13 KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C.					
15	By:					
16	CRAIG THOR KIMMEL PA Attorney Id. No. 57100					
17	30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002					
18	Phone: (215) 540-8888 ext. 116 Fax: (877) 788-2864					
19	Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com					
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						